

On the $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norms of spectral multipliers of “quasi-homogeneous” operators on homogeneous groups

Adam Sikora

Abstract: We study the $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norms of spectral projections and spectral multipliers of left-invariant elliptic and subelliptic second-order differential operators on homogeneous Lie groups. We obtain a precise description of the $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norms of spectral multipliers for some class of operators which we call quasi-homogeneous. As an application we prove a stronger version of Alexopoulos’ spectral multiplier theorem for this class of operators.

1 Introduction

Let G be a nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . For a system $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ of left-invariant vector fields on G satisfying Hörmander’s condition we define an operator L by the formula

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2. \quad (1)$$

It is well known that the closure of the operator L in $L^2(G)$ is self-adjoint. Thus it admits a spectral resolution

$$L = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_L(\lambda).$$

For any bounded Borel function F we define an operator $F(L)$ by the formula

$$F(L) = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) dE_L(\lambda).$$

By $K_{F(L)}$ we denote the kernel of the operator $F(L)$, i.e. a distribution such that

$$F(L)\psi = \psi * K_{F(L)}. \quad (2)$$

In the present paper we investigate the $L^2(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$ norms of spectral multipliers of operator L

$$\|F(L)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2}^2 = \|F(L)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}^2 = \|K_{F(L)}\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3)$$

In the case of elliptic differential and pseudo-differential self-adjoint operators on a compact manifold M the $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norms of spectral multipliers were investigated by Hörmander [10], [9], by Sogge [16], [17], [18] and by Christ and Sogge in [3]. In [9] Hörmander proved that if $\chi_{[a,b]}$ denotes the characteristic function of an interval $[a, b]$ and P is a self-adjoint elliptic differential operator of order m then (see Lemma 4.3 p. 211 [10])

$$\|\chi_{[(k-1)^m, k^m]}(P)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}^2 \leq Ck^{d-1}, \quad (4)$$

where d is the dimension of the manifold M . In [18] Sogge proved even more precise estimates for spectral projectors of an elliptic differential operator of second order P (see Lemma 2.2 [16]),

$$\|\chi_{[(k-1)^2, k^2]}(P)\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^2} \leq Ck^{\alpha(p)}, \quad (5)$$

for each $1 \leq p < 2$ such that $1/p - 1/2 \geq 1/(d+1)$, where $\alpha(p) = \max\{0, d|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2\}$. In [3] Christ and Sogge proved that if P is a first order elliptic self-adjoint pseudo-differential operator on a compact manifold with positive eigenvalues then

$$\|\chi_{[k-1, k]}(P)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}^2 \leq Ck^{d-1}. \quad (6)$$

The estimates (4),(5) and (6) are used in the above papers to obtain several results concerning the convergence in $L^p(M)$ of Riesz' means for P and spectral multiplier theorems for the operator P .

In the case of operators on unimodular Lie groups a useful way of describing the $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norm of spectral multipliers and projections is the following analogue of Plancherel's measure (compare Proposition 3 [2]).

Lemma 1 *If we define the measure $d\mu_L$ by the formula*

$$\int_0^\infty F(\lambda) d\mu_L(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) e^{-2\lambda} d(E(\lambda)p_1, p_1),$$

then

$$\|F(L)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2}^2 = \|F(L)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}^2 = \|K_{F(L)}\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}} |F(\lambda)|^2 d\mu_L(\lambda), \quad (7)$$

where p_t is the heat kernel corresponding to the self-adjoint elliptic left-invariant operator L , i.e. $p_t = K_{\exp(-tL)}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K_{F(L)}(y, \cdot)\|_{L^2} &= \int d(E(\lambda)K_{F(L)}, K_{F(L)}) \\
&= \int e^{2\lambda} d(E(\lambda)\exp(-L)(K_{F(L)}), \exp(-L)(K_{F(L)})) = \\
&= \int e^{2\lambda} d(E(\lambda)F(L)(p_1), F(L)(p_1)) = \\
&\quad \int |F(\lambda)|^2 e^{2\lambda} d(E(\lambda)p_1, p_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Following Christ [2] and Alexopoulos [1] we will call the measure $d\mu_L$ the Plancherel measure of the operator L .

Now let G be a nilpotent homogeneous Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and let $\delta_t: G \rightarrow G$ for $t > 0$ be a family of dilations of the group G . (We refer to [6] for the definition of a homogeneous group and dilations.) For homogeneous groups the exponential mapping identifies G with its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , so we may regard the δ_t instead as maps from \mathfrak{g} into itself. They are Lie algebra automorphisms. Next for an arbitrary function ψ on G and $t > 0$ we set

$$\bar{\delta}_t \psi(x) = \psi(\delta_t x).$$

We say that an operator L of the form (1) is homogeneous if

$$L \circ \bar{\delta}_t = t^2 \bar{\delta}_t \circ L,$$

or equivalently, if there are vectors X_1, \dots, X_k such that

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2 \tag{8}$$

and

$$\delta_t X_i = t X_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

An operator L will be called quasi-homogeneous if there exist vectors X_1, \dots, X_k such that L is defined by (8) and

$$\delta_t X_i = t^{d_i} X_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k, \tag{9}$$

where $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_k$. It is not difficult to compute the Plancherel measure and therefore also obtain a precise description of the $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norms of spectral projectors and multipliers for a homogeneous operator. In

[2] Christ shows that if L is a homogeneous operator defined by (1) then for some constant C (Proposition 3 [2])

$$d\mu_L(\lambda) = C\lambda^{Q/2-1}d\lambda, \quad (10)$$

where Q is the homogeneous dimension of the group G . Christ applied (10) in the proof of the multiplier theorem for the operator L (Theorem 1 [2]). In the present paper we prove that for 'quasi-homogeneous' operators the Plancherel measure has smooth density satisfying estimates similar to that in (10).

In [1] Alexopoulos obtained a multiplier theorem for all second order sub-elliptic operators on Lie groups of polynomial growth. However, Alexopoulos' theorem applied to a homogeneous operator gives a weaker result than Christ's multiplier theorem. Alexopoulos suggested that he lost some exponents in his work because of lack of an analogue of equation (10) for the Plancherel measure for the investigated operators. Indeed, as an application we will use our estimates for the Plancherel measure of quasi-homogeneous operators to obtain a stronger version Alexopoulos' multiplier theorem for such operators.

2 Smoothness of the density of Plancherel measure for quasi-homogeneous operators

For every operator L defined by (1) on a nilpotent Lie group there exist natural numbers d and D such that

$$\|p_{t/2}\|_{L^2}^2 = p_t(e) \sim \begin{cases} t^{-d/2} & \text{if } t \leq 1 \\ t^{-D/2} & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

E.g., see [20]. Following [20] we will call d and D the dimension of the heat kernel at zero and at infinity, respectively. If group G is simply connected then $d \leq D$, see [20] Chapter IV.5. Every homogeneous group is simply connected, thus $d \leq D$ for all operators which we investigate here. Note that if the heat kernel corresponding to an operator L satisfies (11) then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_L([0, r)) &\leq e \int_0^r d\mu_L(\lambda) e^{-\lambda r^{-1}} \\ &\leq e \int_0^\infty d\mu_L(\lambda) e^{-\lambda r^{-1}} \\ &= e \|p_{\frac{1}{r}}(e)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C (r^{d/2} \wedge r^{D/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In case of quasi-homogeneous operators we can prove much sharper estimates. Following improvement of (12) is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that the operator L defined by (8) is quasi-homogeneous. Then the measure μ_L is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$*

$$d\mu_L = \alpha(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

The function $\alpha : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is smooth and

$$\left| \frac{d^n \alpha}{d\lambda^n}(\lambda) \right| \leq C_n \begin{cases} \lambda^{d/2-(n+1)} & \text{if } \lambda \leq 1 \\ \lambda^{D/2-(n+1)} & \text{if } \lambda > 1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We divide the proof of Theorem 1 into a few lemmas. First for complex numbers a_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ such that $\operatorname{Re} a_i > 0$, we define operator L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} by the formula

$$L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i X_i^2.$$

Of course $L^{1, \dots, 1} = L$. In virtue of Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 4.1 [5] the operator L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} generates a semigroup on L^p for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. By $P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ we will denote the semigroup generated by the operator L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} and by $p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ we will denote the kernel of the operator $P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$. It is proved in [5] (Theorem 4.1) that $p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \in C^\infty(G)$ and that for some constants $a, b, \omega > 0$

$$|p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}(g)| \leq at^{-d/2} e^{\omega t} \exp(-b \frac{|g|^2}{t}),$$

where $|g|$ is the distance from the identity e to the element g of G in the optimal control metric corresponding to the system X_1, \dots, X_k . In the sequel we will need a different kind of estimates for $p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$.

Lemma 2 *Let $p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ be the kernel corresponding to the operator L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} . Then*

$$\left| \frac{d^n}{dt^n} p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}(e) \right| \leq \left\| \frac{d^n}{dt^n} p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C'_n \begin{cases} t^{-d/2-n} & \text{if } t \leq 1 \\ t^{-D/2-n} & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Proof. First we show that the semigroup $P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ is equicontinuous on $L^1(G)$ and $L^\infty(G)$. Indeed, let \mathfrak{g}' be a free nilpotent Lie algebra with k generators

Z_1, \dots, Z_k and the same rank as algebra \mathfrak{g} . There exists a homeomorphism $dU : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$dU(Z_i) = X_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

(See [20] p. 46). Next let $U : G' \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding homeomorphism of groups G' and G . By p'_t we denote the heat kernel corresponding to the operator

$$L' = - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i Z_i^2.$$

\mathfrak{g}' is a free nilpotent Lie algebra, so there exists a family of dilation δ'_t on \mathfrak{g}' such that

$$\delta'_t Z_i = t Z_i.$$

Note that L' is a homogeneous operator with respect to δ'_t so

$$\exp(-tL') = \bar{\delta}'_{t^{-1/2}} \circ \exp(-L') \circ \bar{\delta}'_{t^{1/2}}$$

and

$$p'_t(x) = t^{-Q'/2} p'_1(\delta'_{t^{-1/2}} x), \quad (15)$$

where Q' is the homogeneous dimension of group G' . By (15)

$$\|p'_t\|_{L^1} = \|p'_1\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

However we note that for any Borel set $A \subset G$

$$\int_A p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} dx = \int_{U^{-1}(A)} p'_t dx'.$$

Thus $\|p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1(G)} \leq \|p'_t\|_{L^1(G')}$ and for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\|P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \|p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1} \leq \|p'_1\|_{L^1} < \infty. \quad (16)$$

By (16) semigroup $P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ is equicontinuous on all $L^p(G)$. Hence in virtue of Theorem II.3.2. [20] to show (14) for $n = 0$ it is enough to prove that L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} satisfies the following Nash inequalities

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2}^{2+2/d} \leq C \operatorname{Re} (L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \psi, \psi) \|\psi\|_{L^1}^{4/d}, \quad (17)$$

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2}^{2+2/D} \leq C \operatorname{Re} (L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \psi, \psi) \|\psi\|_{L^1}^{4/D}. \quad (18)$$

If we put $\alpha_i = \operatorname{Re} a_i$, $Y_i = \alpha_i^{1/2} X_i$ and

$$L'' = - \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i^2$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} (L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \psi, \psi) = (L'' \psi, \psi).$$

However L'' generates a symmetric Markov semigroup and it is well known that (17) and (18) holds for L'' . See e.g. Chapter IV [20] (see also Theorem 2.4.6 [4]). To get (14) for all n we note that P^{a_1, \dots, a_k} is a bounded analytic semigroup on L^1 , so

$$\|(L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})^n P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq C_n'' t^{-n}.$$

However

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} = (L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})^n P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d^n}{dt^n} p_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \right\|_{L^\infty} &= \|(L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})^n P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|(L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})^n P_{t/2}^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \times \|P_{t/2}^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\ &\leq C_n' t^{-n} \|P_{t/2}^{a_1, \dots, a_k}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (14) for arbitrary $n \geq 0$ follows from case $n = 0$. This proves Lemma 2.

Next, note that if L is quasi-homogeneous then by (9) for $t > 0$ we have

$$\bar{\delta}_{1/t} \circ L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \circ \bar{\delta}_t = L^{t^{2d_1} a_1, \dots, t^{2d_k} a_k}.$$

Thus

$$\bar{\delta}_{1/t} \circ P_s^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \circ \bar{\delta}_t = P_s^{t^{2d_1} a_1, \dots, t^{2d_k} a_k}. \quad (19)$$

In virtue of (19)

$$\frac{1}{t^Q} p_s^{a_1, \dots, a_k}(\delta_{t^{-1}} g) = p_s^{t^{2d_1} a_1, \dots, t^{2d_k} a_k}(g),$$

but if e is the identity element of G then $\delta_{t^{-1}} e = e$, so

$$\frac{1}{t^Q} p_s^{a_1, \dots, a_k}(e) = p_s^{t^{2d_1} a_1, \dots, t^{2d_k} a_k}(e). \quad (20)$$

The following lemma shows that (20) is valid for $t \in \Sigma$, where Σ is a certain sector in the complex plane containing the nonnegative real numbers.

Lemma 3 For complex numbers a_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ such that $\operatorname{Re} a_i > 0$ the semigroups $P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ depend analytically on the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_k . Hence

$$\frac{1}{t^Q} p_s^{a_1, \dots, a_k}(e) = p_s^{t^{2d_1} a_1, \dots, t^{2d_k} a_k}(e). \quad (21)$$

for all $t \in \Sigma_{a,b}$, where $\Sigma_{a,b} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : b < \arg z < a\}$, $a = \frac{\pi/2 - \max\{\arg a_i\}}{2 \max\{d_i\}}$ and $b = \frac{-\pi/2 - \min\{\arg a_i\}}{2 \max\{d_i\}}$.

Proof. We note that the resolvent of the operator L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}

$$R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) = (\lambda I - L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})^{-1}$$

is an analytic function of a_1, \dots, a_k . Indeed

$$R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k} + zX_i^2) = R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (zX_i^2 R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}))^k \right),$$

and

$$\partial_{a_i} R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) = R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) X_i^2 R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}).$$

However in virtue of Theorem 3.3 II [5] $X_i^2 R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})$ is a bounded operator on L^2 and $R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k})$ is an analytic function of a_1, \dots, a_k . Now by the following formula (Lemma 4.1 Chapter 3 [13])

$$\begin{aligned} & R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) [P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} - P_t^{b_1, \dots, b_k}] R(\lambda, L^{b_1, \dots, b_k}) \\ &= \int_0^t P_{(t-s)}^{a_1, \dots, a_k} [R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) - R(\lambda, L^{b_1, \dots, b_k})] P_s^{b_1, \dots, b_k} ds \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) \partial_{a_i} P_t^{a_1, \dots, a_k} R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) \\ &= \int_0^t P_{(t-s)}^{a_1, \dots, a_k} \partial_{a_i} R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) P_s^{a_1, \dots, a_k} ds \\ &= \int_0^t P_{(t-s)}^{a_1, \dots, a_k} R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) X_i^2 R(\lambda, L^{a_1, \dots, a_k}) P_s^{a_1, \dots, a_k} ds. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the semigroup P^{a_1, \dots, a_k} is an analytic function of a_1, \dots, a_k , so both sides of (21) are analytic functions of t . Hence to prove (21) it is enough to recall that, in virtue of (20), (21) is true if t is real and $t > 0$.

Finally, to prove Theorem 1 we will need the following lemma

Lemma 4 Suppose p_z is a heat kernel corresponding to the quasi-homogeneous operator. Then the value of the kernel at the origin $p_z(e)$ regarded as a function of variable z extends analytically to the sector $\Sigma_{\epsilon+\pi/2}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover for $z = is$, where $s \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\left| \frac{d^n}{dz^n} p_z(e) \right| \leq c_n \begin{cases} |z|^{-d/2-n} & \text{if } |z| \leq 1 \\ |z|^{-D/2-n} & \text{if } |z| > 1. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Proof. We put $t = e^{-i\theta}$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4d_k}$ and $z = re^{i\omega}$. Now $p_z(e) = p_z^{1, \dots, 1}(e)$ is an analytic function of z for $-\pi/2 < \omega < \pi/2$. On the other hand $p_z^{t^{2d_1}, \dots, t^{2d_k}}(e) = p_r^{e^{i\omega} t^{2d_1}, \dots, e^{i\omega} t^{2d_k}}(e)$ is an analytic function of z for

$$0 < \omega < \pi/2 + \theta \times 2 \min\{d_1, \dots, d_k\} = \pi/2 + \pi \frac{d_1}{2d_k}.$$

However, in virtue of Lemma 3 for $0 < \omega < \pi/2$

$$\frac{1}{t^Q} p_z^{1, \dots, 1}(e) = \frac{1}{t^Q} p_r^{e^{i\omega}, \dots, e^{i\omega}}(e) = p_r^{e^{i\omega} t^{2d_1}, \dots, e^{i\omega} t^{2d_k}}(e) = p_z^{t^{2d_1}, \dots, t^{2d_k}}(e). \quad (23)$$

This gives us an analytic extension of $p_z(e)$ for $-\pi/2 < \omega < \pi/2 + \pi \frac{d_1}{4d_k}$. Finally since $\text{Re } it^{2d_j} > 0$ so by Lemma 2 for $\omega = \pi/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^n}{dz^n} p_z(e) \right| &\leq \|t^Q \frac{d^n}{dz^n} p_z^{t^{2d_1}, \dots, t^{2d_k}}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \|t^Q \frac{d^n}{dr^n} p_r^{it^{d_1}, \dots, it^{2d_k}}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq c_n (r^{-d/2-n} \wedge r^{-D/2-n}) = c_n (|z|^{-d/2-n} \wedge |z|^{-D/2-n}), \end{aligned}$$

what proves (22) for $\omega = \pi/2$. In the same way we can construct an analytic extension of $p_z(e)$ for $-\pi/2 - \pi \frac{d_1}{4d_k} < \omega < \pi/2$ and prove (22) for $\omega = -\pi/2$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.

Proof of Theorem 1. Note that if $K_{F(L)}$ is defined by (2) and μ_L is the Plancherel measure for L then

$$K_{F(L)}(e) = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) d\mu_L(\lambda),$$

so

$$p_z(e) = \int_0^\infty e^{z\lambda} d\mu_L(\lambda).$$

Hence

$$p_{-it}(e) = \hat{\mu}(t).$$

Now (13) follows by the following

Proposition 1 Assume that for any natural number n the Fourier transform of function $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfies the following estimates

$$\left| \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \hat{f}(t) \right| \leq c'_n t^{a-n}$$

and that $\text{supp } f \subset [0, \infty)$. Then

$$\left| \frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t) \right| \leq c''_n t^{-1-a-n}$$

for any $n \leq 0$.

Proof. We define function $x_+^{1/2}$ by the formula

$$x_+^{-1/2}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1/2} & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

If f is a such distribution that $\text{supp } f \subset [0, \infty)$ than $f * x_+^{-1/2}$ is well defined (see (4.2.6) p. 104 [8]) and

$$\frac{1}{(\Gamma(1/2))^2} \frac{d}{dt} f * x_+^{-1/2} * x_+^{-1/2} = f.$$

Next we note that (see example 7.1.17 [8])

$$|(f * x_+^{-1/2})^\wedge(\lambda)| = \Gamma(1/2) |\hat{f}(\lambda) \lambda^{-1/2}|$$

and that if $\text{supp } f \subset [0, \infty)$ and $|f(x)| \leq c|t|^b$ for $b > -1$ then

$$|f * x_+^{-1/2}(t)| \leq c'|t|^{b+1/2}.$$

Hence it is enough to prove Proposition 1 for $a > -1$. However Proposition 1 for $a > -1$ can be proved in the same way as Proposition 2 p. 245 [19].

Remark 1. If Δ is a standard Laplace operator on one-dimensional torus, then it easy to check that

$$\mu_\Delta = \delta_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{j^2}.$$

Of course μ_Δ does not have a density, so Theorem 1 is not true for all nilpotent Lie groups. However we are not aware of any counterexample to Theorem 1

in case of a simple connected group. Also, we do not know if Hörmander's estimates (4) are true for all nilpotent Lie group.

Remark 2. It is interesting to note that reading carefully the proof of Theorem 1 we can easily show that the function $\alpha(z)$ can be extend analytically to a sector Σ_ε for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and its extension satisfies (13).

Remark 3. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_k is homogeneous algebraic base of \mathfrak{g} i.e. X_1, \dots, X_k generate \mathfrak{g} as a Lie algebra and (9) is satisfied. Next let operator L be defined by the formula

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} X_i X_j,$$

where (a_{ij}) is positive-definite, symmetric matrix. It follows from the proof that Theorem 1 holds for operator L if we assume that the matrix $(a_{ij}(d_i + d_j))$ is positive-definite.

3 Multiplier Theorem

In this section we apply estimates for Plancherel measure of quasi-homogeneous operators from Theorem 1 to obtain a stronger version of Alexopoulos' multiplier theorem [1]. In what follows for $g \in G$ we put $|g| = \rho(e, g)$, where e is the identity element of G and ρ is the optimal control metric corresponding to the system X_1, \dots, X_k . For $x \in \mathbf{R}^Q$ by $|x|$ we denote the Euclidean norm of x . We assume that operator L is a quasi-homogeneous operator defined by (1). We start this section with the following lemma.

Lemma 5 *Suppose that for the Plancherel measure of the operator L we have $d\mu_L = \alpha_L(\lambda) d\lambda$ and*

$$\alpha_L(\lambda) \leq C\lambda^{Q/2-1}. \quad (24)$$

Then for any bounded Borel function $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$

$$\int_G |g|^a |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg \leq C' \int_{\mathbf{R}^Q} |x|^a |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}(x)|^2 dx \quad (25)$$

and

$$\int_G |g|^a |X_i * K_{F(\sqrt{L})}|^2 dg \leq C' \int_{\mathbf{R}^{Q+2}} |x|^a |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_{Q+2}})}|^2 dx, \quad (26)$$

where Δ_Q is a standard Laplace operator on \mathbf{R}^Q .

Lemma 5 for $d\mu_L = C\lambda^{Q/2-1}d\lambda$ is proved in [14]. It is easy to check that the proof from [14] works also under the assumptions of Lemma 5. Nevertheless we include here a short proof of Lemma 5 for the reader's convenience.

Proof. If χ_{b_r} is a characteristic function of Euclidean ball of radius r centred at 0 in \mathbf{R}^Q then function $\chi_{b_r}K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_{Q+1}})}$ and $(1 - \chi_{b_r})K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_{Q+1}})}$ are radial, so there exist functions $F_r : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $F^r : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$K_{F_r(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})} = \chi_{b_r}K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}$$

and

$$K_{F^r(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})} = (1 - \chi_{b_r})K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}.$$

If H is an even function which coincides with F_r on \mathbf{R}_+ , and \hat{H} its Fourier transform then

$$\text{supp } \hat{H} \subset [-r, r],$$

but by the property of the final speed of propagation of the wave equation, e.g. see [15] or [11] for proof, we have

$$\text{supp } (K_{C_t(\sqrt{L})}) \subset \{g : |g| \leq t\},$$

where $C_t(\lambda) = \cos(t\lambda)$. Hence using the following functional calculus formula

$$F_r(\sqrt{L}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{H}(t)C_t(\sqrt{L}) dt,$$

we get

$$\text{supp } (F_r(\sqrt{L})) \subset \{g \in G : |g| \leq r\}. \quad (27)$$

Obviously $F = F_r + F^r$ so by (27)

$$K_{F^r(\sqrt{L})}(g) = K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g) \quad \text{for } |g| > r. \quad (28)$$

On the other hand by the Plancherel Theorem (or by (10)) for any function $F : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ we have

$$\|K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}\|_{L^2}^2 = C_Q \int_0^\infty |F(\lambda)|^2 \lambda^{Q-1} d\lambda$$

and by (24) we get

$$\int_G |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^Q} |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}(x)|^2 dx.$$

Hence in virtue of (28)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|g|>r} |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg &= \int_{|g|>r} |K_{F^r(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg = \int_G |K_{F^r(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg \quad (29) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^Q} |K_{F^r(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}(x)|^2 dx = C \int_{|x|>r} |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by (29) for any $a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |g|^a |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg &= \int_G \int_0^{|g|} ar^{a-1} |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dr dg \\ &= \int_0^\infty ar^{a-1} \int_{|g|>r} |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dr dg \leq C \int_0^\infty ar^{a-1} \int_{|x|>r} |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= C \int_{\mathbf{R}^Q} |x|^a |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_Q})}(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (25). To show (26) we note that

$$\int_G |X_i K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg \leq \int_G |\sqrt{L} K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(g)|^2 dg \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{Q+2}} |K_{F(\sqrt{\Delta_{Q+2}})}(x)|^2 dx$$

and we prove (26) in similar way as (25).

Using Theorem 1 and Lemma 5 we will prove the following version of Alexopoulos' multiplier theorem for quasi-homogeneous operators.

Theorem 2 *Let L be a quasi-homogeneous operator on Lie group G and let $d \leq D$ be dimensions of L at 0 and at infinity respectively and let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ be any fixed auxiliary function, not identically zero. If function F satisfies the following condition*

$$\sup_{t>0} \left(\|\psi(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{H_{d/2+\varepsilon}}^2 + (1+t)^{\frac{d-D}{2}} \|\psi(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{H_{D/2+\varepsilon}}^2 \right) < \infty \quad (30)$$

for certain $\varepsilon > 0$, then the operator $F(L)$ is bounded on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$ and of weak type $1 \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. (30) is actually independent of the choice of ψ so we can assume that $\psi(\lambda) \in C_c^\infty([1, 4])$ and

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(2^n \lambda) = 1 \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

We put

$$F_n(\lambda) = \psi(2^{-n}\lambda)F(\lambda).$$

and

$$\Psi_n(\lambda) = F_n(2^n \lambda).$$

Note that

$$F(L) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(L). \quad (31)$$

To prove Theorem 2 it is enough to show that

$$\int_{|g|>r} |K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg \leq C(r2^{n/2})^{-\varepsilon} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\int_G |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg \leq C2^{n/2}. \quad (33)$$

Indeed, Theorem 2 follows by (31), (32) and (33) by standard Calderón-Zygmund analysis (see the proof of Theorem 7.9.5 [8]).

Proof of (32) and (33). By (30)

$$\|\Psi_n\|_{H_{d/2+\varepsilon}}^2 \leq C \quad (34)$$

and

$$\|\Psi_n\|_{H_{D/2+\varepsilon}}^2 \leq C(1 + 2^n)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}. \quad (35)$$

In virtue of Theorem 1 and Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |g|^s |K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^D} |x|^s |K_{F_n(\Delta_D)}(x)|^2 dx \wedge \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |x|^s |K_{F_n(\Delta_d)}(x)|^2 dx \right) \\ &= C \left(2^{n\frac{(D-s)}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^D} |x|^s |K_{\Psi_n(\Delta_D)}(x)|^2 dx \wedge 2^{n\frac{(d-s)}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |x|^s |K_{\Psi_n(\Delta_d)}(x)|^2 dx \right) \\ &\leq C' (2^{n\frac{(D-s)}{2}} \wedge 2^{n\frac{(d-s)}{2}}) \|\Psi_n\|_{H_{s/2}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

On the other hand by the Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{|g|>r} |K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg \right)^2 &\leq \int_G (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon} + |g|^{d+2\varepsilon}) |K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg \\ &\times \int_{|g|>r} (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon} + |g|^{d+2\varepsilon})^{-1} dg. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By (36), (34) and (35)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon} + |g|^{d+2\varepsilon}) |K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg &\leq C \left((2^{-n\varepsilon} \wedge 2^{-n(\varepsilon + \frac{D-d}{2})}) \|\Psi_n\|_{H_{D/2+\varepsilon}}^2 \right. \\ &\left. + (2^{-n\varepsilon} \wedge 2^{-n(\varepsilon + \frac{d-D}{2})}) \|\Psi_n\|_{H_{d/2+\varepsilon}}^2 \right) \leq C' 2^{-n\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Finally, if $B_t = \{g \in G : |g| \leq t\}$ and $V(B_t)$ is a volume of the ball B_t then by Proposition IV.5.7 $V(B_t) \sim t^d \wedge t^D$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|g|>r} (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon} + |g|^{d+2\varepsilon})^{-1} dg &= \int_r^\infty \left[\frac{d}{dt} ((t^{D+2\varepsilon} + t^{d+2\varepsilon})^{-1}) \right] V(B_t) dt \\ &\leq C r^{-2\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and (32) follows by (38) and (39).

Next in virtue of (26) for $n < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg &= \int_{|g|<2^{-n/2}} |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg \\ &+ \int_{|g|\geq 2^{-n/2}} |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg \leq V(B_{2^{-n/2}})^{1/2} \left(\int_G |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \left(\int_G (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon}) |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{|g|\geq 2^{-n/2}} (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon})^{-1} dg \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left(2^{-nD/4+n((D+2)/4)} + 2^{(-\varepsilon+1)n/2+\varepsilon n/2} \right) \|\Psi_n\|_{H_{D/2+\varepsilon}} \leq C' 2^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally for $n > 0$

$$\int_G |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg = \int_{|g|<2^{-n/2}} |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg + \int_{1>|g|\geq 2^{-n/2}} |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg$$

$$\begin{aligned}
+ \int_{|g| \geq 1} |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)| dg &\leq V(B(2^{-n/2}))^{1/2} \left(\int_{|g| < 2^{-n/2}} |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg \right)^{1/2} \\
&+ \left(\int_G (|g|^{d+2\varepsilon}) |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{1 > |g| \geq 2^{-n/2}} (|g|^{d+2\varepsilon})^{-1} dg \right)^{1/2} \\
&+ \left(\int_G (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon}) |X_i K_{F_n(L)}(g)|^2 dg \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{|g| \geq 1} (|g|^{D+2\varepsilon})^{-1} dg \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(2^{n(d+2)/4 - nd/4} + 2^{(-\varepsilon+1)n/2 + \varepsilon n/2} \right) \|\Psi_n\|_{H_{d/2+\varepsilon}} \\
&\quad + 2^{-n \frac{-2\varepsilon + D - D + 2}{4}} \|\Psi_n\|_{H_{D/2+\varepsilon}} \leq C' 2^{n/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (33) and finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark. Although Theorem 2 is the strongest result of this type known to the author, the papers of Müller and Stein [12] and Hebisch [7] suggest that Theorem 2 is not optimal.

References

- [1] G. Alexopoulos, Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** no. 3 (1994), 973-979 .
- [2] M. Christ, L^p bounds for spectral multipliers on nilpotent groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328** (1991), 73-81.
- [3] M. Christ, C.D. Sogge, The weak type L^1 convergence of eigenfunction expansions for pseudodifferential operators, *Invent. math.* **94** (1988), 421-453.
- [4] E.B. Davies, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge University Press (1989).
- [5] A.F.M. ter Elst, D.W. Robinson, Subcoercivity and subelliptic operators on Lie groups II: The general case, *Potential Analysis* **4** (1995), 205-243.
- [6] G.B. Folland, E.M. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Group*, Princeton University Press (1982).

- [7] W. Hebisch, Multiplier theorem on generalized Heisenberg groups, *Colloq. Math.* **65** (1993), 231-239.
- [8] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer-Verlag (1983).
- [9] L. Hörmander, On the Riesz means of spectral functions and eigenfunction expansions for elliptic differential operators, in: *Some recent advances in the basic sciences*, Yeshiva Univ., New York (1966), 155-202.
- [10] L. Hörmander, The spectral function of an elliptic operator, *Acta Math.* **88** (1968), 193-218.
- [11] R. Melrose, Propagation for the wave group of a positive subelliptic second order differential operator, in: *Hyperbolic Equations and Related Topics*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass. (1986).
- [12] D. Müller, E.M. Stein, On spectral multipliers for Heisenberg and related groups, *J.Math. Pures Appl.* **73** (1994), 413-440.
- [13] A. Pazy *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag (1983)
- [14] A. Sikora Multiplicateurs associés aux souslaplaciens sur les groupes homogènes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris t. 315* Série I (1992), 417-419.
- [15] A. Sikora, Sharp pointwise estimates on heat kernels, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, to appear.
- [16] C.D. Sogge, On the convergence of Riesz means on compact manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **126** (1987), 439-447.
- [17] C.D. Sogge, Oscillatory integrals and spherical harmonics, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 43-65.
- [18] C.D. Sogge, Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second order elliptic operators on compact manifolds, *J. of Funct. Anal.* **77** no. 1 (1988), 123-138.
- [19] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press (1993).

- [20] N.T. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste, Th. Coulhon, *Analysis and Geometry on Groups*, Cambridge University Press (1992).