

EQUIDISTRIBUTION MODULO 1 AND SALEM NUMBERS

CHRISTOPHE DOCHE, MICHEL MENDÈS FRANCE, JEAN-JACQUES RUCH

À Władysław Narkiewicz à l'occasion
de son 70^e anniversaire

Abstract: Let θ be a Salem number. It is well-known that the sequence (θ^n) modulo 1 is dense but not equidistributed. In this article we discuss equidistributed subsequences. Our first approach is computational and consists in estimating the supremum of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/s(n)$ over all equidistributed subsequences $(\theta^{s(n)})$. As a result, we obtain an explicit upper bound on the density of any equidistributed subsequence. Our second approach is probabilistic. Defining a measure on the family of increasing integer sequences, we show that relatively to that measure, almost no subsequence is equidistributed.

Keywords: Salem number, Equidistribution modulo 1, J_0 Bessel function.

1. Subsequences

Let $u = (u(n))$ be an infinite sequence of real numbers. A subsequence $u \circ s = (u(s(n)))$ is said to have density $d \leq 1$ if as n increases $n/s(n) \rightarrow d$. Suppose the sequence u is dense (mod 1). Answering a question of one of us in 1973, Y. Dupain and J. Lesca [6] established that the set of densities d of equidistributed (mod 1) subsequences of u is a closed interval $[0, d_0]$ where $d_0 \leq 1$ depends on u . They also showed how to compute d_0 . For $0 \leq x \leq 1$, define the *repartition function*

$$f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \text{card}\{n < N \mid \{u(n)\} < x\}$$

where $\{u(n)\}$ is the fractional part of $u(n)$. We only consider those x where $f(x)$ and its derivative $f'(x)$ both exist, i.e. almost everywhere. Y. Dupain and J. Lesca proved that $d_0 = \inf_x f'(x)$.

A particularly striking example of such an instance concerns the distribution (mod 1) of the powers of Salem numbers $\theta > 1$. A Salem number [10] (see also [3]) is a real algebraic integer whose algebraic conjugates other than θ all lie in the unit disc $|z| \leq 1$ with one conjugate at least on the boundary $|z| = 1$. It is then known that one and only one of these conjugates θ^{-1} is inside the disc while the others are on the boundary. The degree $2t$ of θ is necessarily even and at least equal to 4.

Denote the different conjugates by $\theta, \theta^{-1}, \exp(\pm 2i\pi\omega_1), \dots, \exp(\pm 2i\pi\omega_{t-1})$. The sum of all conjugates of an algebraic integer is an integer and therefore for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\theta^n + \theta^{-n} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \cos 2\pi n \omega_j \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$$

so that the distribution of $\theta^n \pmod{1}$ is essentially that of $-2 \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \cos 2\pi n \omega_j$. Ch. Pisot and R. Salem [9] observed that $1, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{t-1}$ are \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent so that, according to Kronecker, the $(t-1)$ dimensional sequence $(\omega_1 n, \dots, \omega_{t-1} n)$ is equidistributed in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{t-1}$. As a consequence, the sequence (θ^n) is therefore clearly dense $\pmod{1}$. Furthermore, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \exp 2i\pi k \theta^n &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \exp(-2i\pi k \cdot 2 \cos 2\pi n \omega_j) \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \exp(-4i\pi k \cos 2\pi x) dx \right)^{t-1} \\ &= J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1} \neq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $J_0(\cdot)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of index 0.

Since $|J_0(\alpha)| < 1$ for all real $\alpha \neq 0$, the above limit tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Y. Dupain and J. Lesca conclude that for large degrees t , the sequence $(\theta^n \pmod{1})$ is close to being equidistributed, a fact that S. Akiyama and Y. Tanigawa [1] make very explicit in their article. This is quite remarkable since even though for almost all real $\tau > 1$, (τ^n) is equidistributed $\pmod{1}$, no explicit τ is known (J. F. Koksma [8]).

We know the existence of $d_0 < 1$ (and quite obviously $d_0 > 0$) such that $s(n) \sim \frac{1}{d_0} n$ and $(\theta^{s(n)})$ equidistributed $\pmod{1}$. We shall see later on that those sequences are rare. But we can already guess why these sequences $s(n)$ are exceptional. This is a consequence of our first rather trivial theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If $s(n)$ is an increasing sequence of integers such that $(\theta^{s(n)})$ is equidistributed $\pmod{1}$, then there exists an irrational x such that $xs(n)$ is not equidistributed $\pmod{1}$.*

Proof. We note that

$$\theta^{s(n)} \equiv -2 \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \cos 2\pi \omega_j s(n) - \theta^{-s(n)} \pmod{1}.$$

The $(t-1)$ dimensional sequence $(\omega_1 s(n), \dots, \omega_{t-1} s(n))$ is not equidistributed in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{t-1}$ since if it were, $(\theta^{s(n)})$ would not be equidistributed $\pmod{1}$. Therefore there exist integers h_1, \dots, h_{t-1} not all 0 such that

$$h_1 \omega_1 s(n) + \dots + h_{t-1} \omega_{t-1} s(n)$$

is not equidistributed (mod 1). The theorem is established with

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} h_j \omega_j . \quad \blacksquare$$

Next, we develop a method to approximate d_0 for the sequence $(\theta^n \pmod{1})$, where θ is a Salem number of degree $2t$. The results indicate that d_0 tends to 1 very quickly as t tends to infinity. A key result in this approach is the study of the minimum of a cosine series on $]0, 1[$. Under certain conditions, we show that the minimum is always attained at $x = 1/2$, cf. Theorem 2.1.

2. Explicit Computations of d_0

The repartition function is explicitly determined for a Salem number of degree 4, cf. [5]. Namely,

$$f(x) = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\arccos \frac{x-2}{2} + \arccos \frac{x}{2} + \arccos \frac{x-1}{2} + \arccos \frac{x+1}{2} \right) .$$

It follows that

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x}{2} - 1)^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x-1}{2})^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x}{2})^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x+1}{2})^2}} \right) .$$

A direct study of $f'(x)$ shows that it attains its minimum for $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and gives the exact value of d_0 , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{7}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{15}} \right) = 0.809988350 \dots \tag{2.1}$$

For a Salem number of degree $2t$ with $t > 2$, we want to estimate the corresponding d_0 . First, let us show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let θ be a Salem number of degree $2t$, then the repartition function $f(x)$ of the sequence (θ^n) modulo 1 satisfies*

$$f'(x) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_0(4k\pi)^{t-1} \cos 2\pi kx$$

on $]0, 1[$, for all $t \geq 2$.

Proof. We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \exp 2i\pi k \theta^n = \int_0^1 \exp 2i\pi kx \, dx$$

where ν is the repartition function $f(x)$. According to Y. Dupain [5] the measure $d\nu = f'(x) dx$ is absolutely continuous. It follows from (1.1) that

$$J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1} = \int_0^1 \exp 2i\pi kx f'(x) dx .$$

We can associate with $f'(x)$ its Fourier series

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1} \exp(-2i\pi kx) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1} \cos 2\pi kx. \quad (2.2)$$

If this series converges uniformly, then its sum is continuous and equals $f'(x)$. The lemma is clear for $t > 3$, since $J_0(x) = O(x^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and we even have equality on $[0, 1]$. For $t = 2$ and 3, we need the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *The sequence $(J_0(4\pi k))$ is positive for all $k > 0$ and strictly decreasing.*

Proof. In [1, Lemma 2], it is shown that

$$J_0(2\pi k) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{k}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}\pi k} + R \right), \text{ with } |R| \leq \frac{9}{512\pi^2 k^2}.$$

It is straightforward to deduce that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{k}} - J_0(4\pi k) \leq \frac{1}{61\pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (2.3)$$

This proves the first part of the lemma. Now

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{8\pi k^{\frac{3}{2}}} > \frac{2}{61\pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

This shows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{k}} - \frac{1}{61\pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}} > \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{k+1}} - \frac{1}{61\pi^2 (k+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

which implies that $J_0(4\pi k) > J_0(4\pi(k+1))$, for $k > 0$. ■

We deduce that the series (2.2) is uniformly convergent on the compact $[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and therefore $f'(x)$ is equal to this series on $]0, 1[$. ■

A consequence of Lemma 3.2 is that d_0 only depends on t and satisfies

$$d_0 = \inf_{x \in]0, 1[} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_0(4k\pi)^{t-1} \cos 2\pi kx \right) .$$

Next let us recall a definition we shall use later.

Definition 2.1. Let (b_k) be a sequence of real numbers and let $\Delta^0 b_k = b_k$ and $\Delta^n b_k = \Delta^{n-1} b_k - \Delta^{n-1} b_{k+1}$, for all $n > 0$. The sequence (b_k) is said to be totally monotone if $\Delta^n b_k \geq 0$ for all k , and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

By a famous result of Hausdorff [7], the total monotonicity of (b_k) is equivalent to the existence of a nonnegative measure μ on $[0, 1]$ such that the b_k 's are the moments of μ , i.e.

$$b_k = \int_0^1 u^k d\mu .$$

Example 2.1. Let s be a real positive number. The sequence (b_k) defined by

$$b_k = \frac{1}{(k + 1)^s}$$

for all $k \geq 0$ is totally monotone.

Theorem 2.1. Let (a_k) be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers (except maybe for a_0). Assume that (a_{k+1}) , $k \geq 0$ is totally monotone, then the function

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \cos 2\pi kx$$

is well-defined and decreasing on the interval $]0, 1/2[$. As a corollary, $g(x)$ attains its minimum for $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let us introduce

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos 2\pi kx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \cos 2\pi(k + 1)x .$$

Since, g and h only differ by a_0 , it is enough to study h to prove the theorem on g . Since $(b_k) = (a_{k+1})$, $\Delta b_k \geq 0$, for all k . So the sequence (b_k) is decreasing and this shows that the series $h(x)$ is convergent for all $x \in]\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon[$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $h(x) = h(1 - x)$, it is enough to study h on $]0, 1/2[$.

Since the b_k 's are the moments of a certain nonnegative measure μ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \cos 2\pi(k + 1)x \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 u^k \cos 2\pi(k + 1)x d\mu \\ &= \Re \int_0^1 \frac{e^{2i\pi x}}{1 - e^{2i\pi x} u} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality being justified by the nonnegativity of μ . It follows that

$$h(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\cos 2\pi x - u}{1 + u^2 - 2u \cos 2\pi x} d\mu .$$

To show that $h(x)$ is decreasing on $]0, 1/2]$, evaluate $h(x) - h(y)$ for $0 < x \leq y \leq 1/2$. Let

$$j_x(u) = \frac{\cos 2\pi x - u}{1 + u^2 - 2u \cos 2\pi x} .$$

Then reducing to the same (positive) denominator, we see that the numerator of $j_x(u) - j_y(u)$ is $(\cos 2\pi x - \cos 2\pi y)(1 - u^2)$ which is nonnegative for all $u \in [0, 1]$.

Since μ is a nonnegative measure, we deduce that $h(x) \geq h(y)$ whenever $x \leq y \leq 1/2$ and that $h(x) \geq h(1/2)$ for all $x \in]0, 1/2]$. These results apply trivially to the function g . ■

Corollary 2.1. *Let $s > 0$. Then the series*

$$g(x) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi kx}{k^s}$$

is decreasing on $]0, 1/2]$ and satisfies

$$g(x) \geq a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k^s} .$$

Remark. It is possible to compute $g(1/2)$ very efficiently following the method explained in [4]. For instance, for the sequence (a_k) defined by a given a_0 and $a_k = 1/\sqrt{k}$, for $k \geq 1$, we have that

$$g(x) \geq g(1/2) = a_0 - 0.6048986434216303702472659142359554997597625451 \dots$$

All the digits in the last equality are correct as can be established knowing the first 60 a_k 's.

Unfortunately, we are not able to show that the sequence $(J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1}), k > 0$ is totally monotone, though the extensive numerical computations of its first n -th forward differences seem to indicate that this is the case. Based on the case $t = 2$ and also on direct computations of $f'(x)$ for various x , we conjecture that $\inf_x f'(x) = f'(1/2)$ for $t \geq 2$. However, to be totally rigorous, we cannot directly apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain the value of d_0 . Nevertheless, this result will give an approximation of d_0 , for $t > 2$.

The idea is to apply (2.3) to deduce that

$$\left| J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1} - \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{k})^{t-1}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{61\pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(t-1)}{(2\pi\sqrt{k})^{t-2}} .$$

It follows that

$$\left| f'(x) - 1 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi kx}{(2\pi\sqrt{k})^{t-1}} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{61\pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(t-1)}{(2\pi\sqrt{k})^{t-2}},$$

which, combined with Theorem 2.1, implies that for all $x \in]0, 1[$

$$f'(x) \geq \underbrace{1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2\pi\sqrt{k})^{t-1}}}_{S_1} - \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{61\pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(t-1)}{(2\pi\sqrt{k})^{t-2}}}_{S_2}.$$

The main contribution, i.e. S_1 , can be obtained using the acceleration convergence method explained in [4], whereas the second series S_2 is simply (up to a constant) an evaluation of the ζ function at the point $(t + 1)/2$. This gives a lower bound for d_0 . An upper bound is given by $d_0 \leq f'(1/2)$, where $f'(1/2)$ is bounded, for any K even, by the truncated alternating series

$$1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^K (-1)^k J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1}.$$

The convergence is quite slow for $t = 3$ so that we fixed $K = 2.10^6$ to obtain a relevant upper bound. Much less terms are necessary for larger t . A conjectured value d_0^* is also given relying on the assumption that $d_0 = f'(1/2)$ and on the computation of $f'(1/2)$ using [4]. The method seems to converge and at most the first 10 terms are sufficient to give a result with an error less than 10^{-10} . Also, we checked for $t = 2$ that the value given in (2.1) is, up to several hundred digits, equal to the one computed with this approach.

Note that if the sequence $(J_0(4\pi k)^{t-1})$, defined for $k > 0$ is totally monotone, then both assumptions are valid, and therefore $d_0 = d_0^*$. All the figures are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Lower bound, upper bound, and conjectured value of d_0

t	S_1	S_2	$S_1 - S_2$	$f'(1/2)$	d_0^*
3	0.964884753	0.000869699	0.964015054	0.965745539	0.965745543
4	0.993830708	0.000112882	0.993717825	0.994046008	0.994046007
5	0.998944571	0.000016098	0.998928472	0.998991788	0.998991787
6	0.999822887	0.000002401	0.999820485	0.999832498	0.999832497
7	0.999970695	0.000000367	0.999970328	0.999972560	0.999972559
8	0.999995201	0.000000056	0.999995144	0.999995551	0.999995550
9	0.999999220	0.000000008	0.999999211	0.999999285	0.999999284
10	0.999999874	0.000000001	0.999999872	0.999999886	0.999999885

In the next section we shall define the notion of "almost all" increasing sequences of integers $(s(n))$. For almost all sequences $(s(n))$ and for all irrational

numbers x , $(xs(n))$ is equidistributed. This already shows how exceptional those sequences $(s(n))$ are for which $(\theta^{s(n)})$ is equidistributed.

Furthermore R. Salem [11] demonstrated that if $(s(n))$ is any increasing sequence such that $s(n) = O(n)$, then the Hausdorff dimension of the set of x for which $(xs(n))$ is not equidistributed (mod 1), vanishes. The x 's in Theorem 1.1 are therefore "rare" if indeed $s(n) \sim \frac{1}{d_0}n$.

3. Metrical Results

Let S be the family of finite or infinite strictly increasing sequences of positive integers. To each $s = (s(n)) \in S$ corresponds a unique sequence $\chi \in D = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (characteristic sequence) and conversely:

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in s, \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

Any measure on D lifts to a measure on S .

Let $0 < d < 1$. Put $m\{1\} = d$ and $m\{0\} = 1 - d$. Then $\mu = \prod m$ is a probability measure on D to which corresponds a probability measure on S which we still denote by μ or μ_d if we wish to emphasize the parameter d .

Theorem 3.1. *Consider the polynomial $P(X) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} a_{\ell}X^{\ell}$ where at least one of the coefficients a_{ℓ} , $1 \leq \ell \leq \nu$ is irrational. Then for μ -almost all sequences $s \in S$, $P(s) = (P(s(n)))$ is equidistributed (mod 1).*

Theorem 3.2. *If θ is a Salem number then μ -almost no sequence $(\theta^{s(n)})$ is equidistributed (mod 1). More generally, if P is any positive integer valued polynomial, $\theta^{P(s)} = (\theta^{P(s(n))})$ is μ -almost never equidistributed (mod 1).*

We have seen in Section 1 that there exists a $d_0 \in]0, 1[$ for which no sequence $s = (s(n))$ exists such that $s(n) \sim \frac{1}{d}n$ ($d > d_0$) and $(\theta^{s(n)})$ equidistributed (mod 1). For $d \leq d_0$ there do exist d -density equidistributed subsequences $(\theta^{s(n)})$ but they are μ_d -rare.

Remark. For $d \in [0, 1]$ let $T(d)$ be the family of increasing sequences $(s(n))$ of density d such that $(\theta^{s(n)})$ is equidistributed (mod 1). We know that $T(d) = \emptyset$ as long as $d > d_0$. Could it be true that as d decreases to 0 the family $T(d)$ "increases in size"? Could one devise a way to show that this is so, e.g. by defining a fractal dimension adapted to the question?

4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

A sequence $\chi \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be d -normal if all finite words $w = w_1 \dots w_{\ell} \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ occur in χ with the frequency $d^k(1 - d)^{\ell - k}$ where k is the number of 1's in w . It is well known that μ_d -almost all χ are d -normal. For such a sequence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} (\chi(n) - d) = 0$$

and more generally, for all $k \geq 1$ and all integers $h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_k$ where at least one couple $h_i < h_{i+1}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \prod_{i=1}^k (\chi(n + h_i) - d) = 0.$$

A sequence Y is said to be *uncorrelated* if for all $k \geq 1$ and all integers $h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_k$ where at least one couple $h_i < h_{i+1}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \prod_{i=1}^k Y(n + h_i) = 0.$$

If $\chi \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is d -normal, then as remarked above, $\chi - d$ is uncorrelated.

Lemma 4.1. *For all real polynomials P and all uncorrelated sequences Y*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} Y(n) \exp 2i\pi P(n) = 0.$$

Proof. The result is obviously true if $\deg P = 0$. We now argue by induction and assume the truth of the lemma for all P with $\deg P = \nu - 1 \geq 0$. Let Q be any polynomial of degree ν and let $h \geq 1$ be an arbitrary integer. Put $f(n) = Y(n) \exp 2i\pi Q(n)$ and consider the correlation

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \overline{f(n)} f(n + h) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} Y(n) Y(n + h) \exp 2i\pi(Q(n + h) - Q(n)). \end{aligned}$$

The product $Z(n) = Y(n)Y(n + h)$ is again uncorrelated and the polynomial $P(n) = Q(n + h) - Q(n)$ is of degree $\nu - 1$. Therefore

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \overline{f(n)} f(n + h) = 0$$

for all $h \geq 1$. A classical result (see J. Bass [2]) then implies

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} f(n) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

We now prove Theorem 3.1. Suppose $P(X) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} a_{\ell} X^{\ell}$ where at least one of the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_{ν} is irrational. Consider the exponential mean

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \exp 2i\pi h P(s(n)) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell < s(N)} \chi(\ell) \exp 2i\pi h P(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

where $h \geq 1$ is an integer, and where χ is the characteristic function of s .

For $\mu = \mu_d$ -almost all s , $s(N) \sim \frac{1}{d}N = L$. The theorem will be established if for $L \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell < L} \chi(\ell) \exp 2i\pi hP(\ell) \rightarrow 0 .$$

The above average can be decomposed into two parts

$$\frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell < L} (\chi(\ell) - d) \exp 2i\pi hP(\ell) + \frac{d}{L} \sum_{\ell < L} \exp 2i\pi hP(\ell) .$$

For μ_d -almost all s , $\chi - d$ is uncorrelated and therefore the first average converges to 0. As for the second average, it converges to 0 because the sequence is well known to be equidistributed (mod 1) [12].

5. Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let $P(X) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} a_{\ell} X^{\ell}$, $a_{\nu} > 0$, be a polynomial which takes integer values when X runs through \mathbb{N} . If $s \in S$,

$$\theta^{P(s(n))} \equiv -2 \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \cos 2\pi \omega_j P(s(n)) + o(1)$$

if P is nonconstant (if P is constant the theorem is trivial). The $(t - 1)$ polynomials $\omega_1 P, \dots, \omega_{t-1} P$ all have irrational coefficients. According to Theorem 3.1, the sequences $(\omega_j P(s(n)))$ are μ_d -almost surely equidistributed (mod 1) and more to the point, for all $\underline{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t-1} \setminus \{0\}$ the sequences $\underline{h} \underline{\omega} P(s)$ are equidistributed (mod 1). Here $\underline{h} \underline{\omega} P(s)$ is the scalar product of \underline{h} and $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{t-1})$. Therefore the $(t - 1)$ dimensional sequence $(\omega_1 P(s), \dots, \omega_{t-1} P(s))$ is equidistributed in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{t-1}$ and as in the first section, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \exp 2i\pi kP(s(n)) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} J_0(4k\pi)^{t-1} \neq 0 .$$

6. A Final Remark

All our arguments are based on the fact that θ^n is essentially a finite sum of $\cos 2\pi \omega_j n$. We could probably extend some of our results to the study of sequences $u = (u(n))$ of the type

$$u(n) = \sum_{j=1}^t F(n\omega_j) .$$

Acknowledgement. The authors had long discussions with Vitaly Bergelson and with Bahman Saffari whom we would very much like to thank warmly.

References

- [1] S. Akiyama and Y. Tanigawa, *Salem numbers and uniform distribution modulo 1*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **64**(3-4) (2004), 329–341.
- [2] J. Bass, *Suites uniformément denses, moyennes trigonométriques, fonctions pseudo-aléatoires*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **87** (1959), 1–64.
- [3] M.-J. Bertin, A. Decomps-Guilloux, M. Grandet-Hugot, M. Pathiaux-Delefosse, and J.-P. Schreiber, *Pisot and Salem numbers*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1992. With a preface by David W. Boyd.
- [4] H. Cohen, F. Rodriguez-Villegas, and D. Zagier, *Convergence acceleration of alternating series*, Experiment. Math. **9**(1) (2000), 3–12.
- [5] Y. Dupain, Répartition et discrétion. PhD thesis, Université Bordeaux I, 1978.
- [6] Y. Dupain and J. Lesca, Répartition des sous-suites d'une suite donnée. Acta Arith., **23** (1973), 307–314.
- [7] F. Hausdorff, *Momentprobleme für ein endliches Intervall*, Math. Z. **16**(1) (1923), 220–248.
- [8] J. F. Koksma, *Ein mengentheoretischer Satz über die Gleichverteilung modulo Eins*, Compositio Math. **2** (1935), 250–258.
- [9] Ch. Pisot and R. Salem, *Distribution modulo 1 of the powers of real numbers larger than 1*, Compositio Math. **16** (1964), 164–168.
- [10] R. Salem, *Power series with integral coefficients*, Duke Math. J. **12** (1945), 153–172.
- [11] R. Salem, *Uniform distribution and capacity of sets*, Comm. Sémin. Math. Univ. Lund [Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.] (Tome Supplémentaire) (1952), 193–195.
- [12] Hermann Weyl, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*. Math. Ann. **77**(3) (1916), 313–352.

Addresses: Christophe Doche: Division of ICS, Building E6A Room 360, Macquarie University, NSW 2109 Australia, Michel Mendès France, Jean-Jacques Ruch: Laboratoire A2X, Université Bordeaux I, 351, cours de la Libération, F-33405 Talence Cedex France

E-mail: doche@ics.mq.edu.au, michel.mendes-france@math.u-bordeaux1.fr, jean-jacques.ruch@math.u-bordeaux1.fr, mmf@math.u-bordeaux.fr, ruch@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Received: 18 December 2007; **revised:** 16 July 2008