# Clustering of Medical Publications for Evidence Based **Medicine Summarisation**

Sara Faisal Shash Diego Mollá Department of Computing, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY

#### **Abstract**

We present a study of the clustering properties of medical publications for the aim of Evidence Based Medicine summarisation. Given an annotated dataset of documents that have been manually assigned to groups related to clinical answers, we apply K-Means clustering and verify that the documents can be clustered reasonably well. We advance the implications of such clustering for natural language processing tasks in Evidence Based Medicine.

## **Clustering for EBM**

The ultimate goal is to build a query-based multi-document summarisation system for Evidence Based Medicine that groups (clusters) the input documents according to the answers, and generates (summarises) the answers.

### Input

QUESTION:

Which treatments work best for hemorrhoids? **DOCUMENTS:** 

[11289288], [12972967], [1442682], [15486746],

[16235372], [16252313], [17054255], [17380367].

# Output

- Excision is the most effective treatment for thrombosed external hemorrhoids. [11289288], [12972967], [15486746].
- 2. For prolapsed internal hemorrhoids, the best definitive treatment is traditional hemorrhoidectomy. [17054255], [17380367].
- 3. Of nonoperative techniques, rubber band ligation produces the lowest rate of recurrence. [1442682], [16252313], [16235372].

## **Dataset**

- ▶ 456 questions from the "Clinical Inquiries" section of the Journal of Family Practice.
- Each question has several answer parts.
- Each answer part has its relevant documents.
- ► A document may be relevant to several answer parts (overlapping clustering).

## Sample

clustering

summarisation

Documents in the answer

16080084 12514443 15716561 16531939 17237298

15716561

2095 11417373 9099150

2095 9099150

2095 12415081 1834190 9099150 7484689 11417373

# Which treatments work best

# Evidence-based answer

studies). For prolapsed internal

the lowest rate of recurrence (SOR: A,

#### Evidence summary

External hemorrhoids originate below at a mean follow-up of 17.3 months.<sup>2</sup> the dentate line and become acutely painful with thrombosis. They can trolled trial (RCT) of 98 patients treatcause perianal pruritus and excoriation ed nonsurgically found improved pain because of interference with perianal relief with a combination of topical hygiene. Internal hemorrhoids become nifedipine 0.3% and lidocaine 1.5% symptomatic when they bleed or pro- compared with lidocaine alone. The lapse (**TABLE**).

#### For thrombosed external

hemorrhoids, surgery works best Conventional hemorrhoidectomy Few studies have evaluated the best beats stapling treatment for thrombosed external Many studies have evaluated the best hemorrhoids. A retrospective study treatment for prolapsed hemorrhoids. of 231 patients treated conservatively A Cochrane systematic review of 12 or surgically found that the 48.5% RCTs that compared conventional of patients treated surgically had a hemorrhoidectomy with stapled hem-[NNT]=2 for recurrence at mean fol- rate of recurrence (follow-up ranged low-up of 7.6 months) and earlier reso- from 6 to 39 months) in patients who lution of symptoms (average 3.9 days had conventional hemorrhoidectomy compared with 24 days for conserva- (NNT=14).4 Conventional hemorrhoid-

340 patients who underwent outpa- continence. tient excision of thrombosed external hemorrhoids under local anesthesia re- studies, including some that were of lower quality, showed a higher recurrence rate at 1 year with stapled hemorrhoidectomy than with conventional

#### Nonoperative techniques? Consider rubber band ligation

A systematic review of 3 poorquality trials comparing rubber band ligation with excisional hemorrhoidectomy in patients with grade III hemorrhoids found that excisional hemorrhoidectomy produced better long-term symptom control but more immediate postoperative complications of anal stenosis and hemorrhoids that present early. Surgitechniques of sclerotherapy and infra- grade III and IV hemorrhoids.<sup>10</sup> ■

red coagulation.7 Fiber supplements help relieve symptoms A Cochrane systematic review of 7 RCTs enrolling a total of 378 patients

#### with grade I to III hemorrhoids evaluated the effect of fiber supplements on pain, itching, and bleeding. Persistent hemorrhoid symptoms decreased by 53% in the group receiving fiber.8 When surgical hemorrhoidectomy

is recommended The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons recommends adequate fluid and fiber intake for all patients with symptomatic hemorrhoids. For grade I to III hemorrhoids, the society states that banding is usually most effective. When office treatments fail, the society recommends surgical hemorrhoidectomy (SOR: B). The society recommends excision of

thrombosed hemorrhoids less than 72 hours old and expectant treatment with chrane Database Syst Rev. 2005(4):CD004649.

# for hemorrhoids?

Excision is the most effective treatment for is traditional hemorrhoidectomy (SOR: thrombosed external hemorrhoids (strength A, systematic reviews). Of nonoperative of recommendation [SOR]: B, retrospective techniques, rubber band ligation produces hemorrhoids, the best definitive treatment

# ported a low recurrence rate of 6.5%

A prospective, randomized con-NNT for complete pain relief at 7 days

lower recurrence rate than the conser- orrhoidectomy in patients with grades vative group (number needed to treat I to III hemorrhoids found a lower ectomy showed a nonsignificant trend Another retrospective analysis of in decreased bleeding and decreased in-

A second systematic review of 25

#### Classification of sympto internal hemorrhoid DESCRIPTION Hemorrhoids do not protr Hemorrhoids protrude witl reduce spontaneously Hemorrhoids protrude and Hemorrhoids are permane Source: Madoff RD, et al. Gastroenterology. 2004.10

hemorrhage.6 Rubber band ligation had cal hemorrhoidectomy should be rethe lowest recurrence rate at 12 months served for when conservative treatment compared with the other nonoperative fails and for patients with symptomatic

#### 1. Greenspon J, Williams SB, Young HA, et al. Thrombosed external hemorrhoids: outcome after conservative or surgical management. Dis Colon Rec tum. 2004:47:1493-1498. 2. Jongen J, Bach S, Stubinger SH, et al. Excision of thrombosed external hemorrhoids under local

anesthesia: a retrospective evaluation of 340 patients. Dis Colon Rectum. 2003:46:1226-1231. Perrotti P, Antropoli C, Molino D, et al. Conservative treatment of acute thrombosed external hemorrhoids with topical nifedipine. Dis Colon Rectum. 2001:44:405-409 Jayaraman S, Colquhoun PH, Malthaner RA. Sta pled versus conventional surgery for hemorrhoids 5. Tjandra JJ, Chan MK. Systematic review or the procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids

(stapled hemorrhoidopexy). Dis Colon Rectum 6. Shanmugam V, Thaha MA, Rabindranath KS, et al. Systematic review of randomized trials comparing rubber band ligation with excisional haemorrhoid ectomy. Br J Surg. 2005;92:1481-1487. Johanson JF, Rimm A. Optimal nonsurgical treat ment of hemorrhoids: a comparative analysis of infrared coagulation, rubber band ligation and injection sclerotherapy. Am J Gastroenterol. 8. Alonso-Coello P, Guyatt G, Heels-Ansdell D, et al. Laxatives for the treatment of hemorrhoids. Co-

## **Data For Clustering**

- ► The source documents are encoded in PubMed XML.
- ▶ We performed original experiments using several kinds of information:
- 1. Complete XML data.
- 2. Abstract information only.
- 3. Terms that have an Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) concept.
- 4. UMLS semantic types.

# **Clustering Approach**

- An independent clustering task for each question.
- ► Clustering approach was K-means.
- Words were lowercased, stop words removed, remaining words weighted using tf.idf.
- Final result is the average cluster entropy across all questions.

# Clustering Results with Predefined K

## Cluster Entropy

► Entropy of cluster *i* in question *q*:

$$Entropy(i) = -\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_{i,j} \log_2 p_{i,j} \qquad \text{where } p_{i,j} = \frac{\text{\# docs in } i \text{ and } j}{\text{\# docs in } i}$$

► Cluster entropy of question *q*: weighted average of *Entropy*(*i*):

$$Entropy(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} Entropy(i) \frac{\text{# docs in } i}{\text{# docs in } q}$$

**Table 1:** Average entropy for optimal K clusters.

| rable 1. Average chiropy for optimal A clasters. |           |               |               |                |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Measure  | Whole XML | Abstract only | Concepts only | Semantic types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Euclidean  | 0.260     | 0.264         | 0.274         | 0.310          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Correlation                                      | 0.348     | 0.362         | 0.349         | 0.347          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cosine   | 0.249     | 0.266         | 0.277         | 0.298          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dice   | 0.332     | 0.328         | 0.324         | 0.334          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jaccard  | 0.320     | 0.330         | 0.317         | 0.327          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manhattan  | 0.288     | 0.299         | 0.305         | 0.296          |  |  |  |  |  |

The entropy of pure random clustering is  $-\log_2(1/K)$  for an average K=2.4, giving 1.263, so simple k-means clustering gives good results.

# Finding Best Number of Clusters K

User defined K: A constant value of K for each question.

Rule of Thumb: Based on the total number m of documents in a cluster. This provides a value of K that is distinct for each question.

$$K=\sqrt{m/2}$$

Cover Coefficient: Based on the number *m* of documents, the number *n* of terms, and the number t of non-zero entries in the matrix of bags of words.

$$K = \frac{m \times n}{t}$$

**Table 2**: Average entropy on full XML documents.

|  |             |              | <u> </u>     |       |       |       |          |
|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
|  | Measure     | <i>K</i> = 2 | <i>K</i> = 3 | K = 4 | RoT   | Cover | Original |
|  | Euclidean   | 0.489        | 0.309        | 0.205 | 0.163 | 0.235 | 0.260    |
|  | Correlation | 0.604        | 0.413        | 0.283 | 0.238 | 0.316 | 0.348    |
|  | Cosine      | 0.479        | 0.298        | 0.213 | 0.154 | 0.224 | 0.249    |
|  | Dice        | 0.572        | 0.368        | 0.250 | 0.204 | 0.290 | 0.332    |
|  | Jaccard     | 0.562        | 0.360        | 0.252 | 0.191 | 0.293 | 0.320    |
|  | Manhattan   | 0.522        | 0.327        | 0.226 | 0.174 | 0.281 | 0.288    |
|  | Average K   | 2            | 3            | 4     | 3.8   | 2.8   | 2.4      |

Our system does not attempt to handle overlapping clustering. Considering that, the results are remarkably good. In future work we will look at overlapping clustering. We will also look at supervised clustering and incorporate information from the question.