

## Enrico Vitale

Université catholique de Louvain

### *Another snake lemma*

The classical snake lemma states that, if

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ker}[p] & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \text{Ker}[q] & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{q} & D \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} (1) \\ (2) \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram in the category  $Ab$  of abelian groups and if  $p$  is surjective, then there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Ker}[f] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[g] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[h] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[f] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[g] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[h].$$

In my talk, I will show that, if we drop the assumption that  $p$  is surjective, we still have an exact sequence

$$\text{Ker}[f] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[g] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[h] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[\langle g, p \rangle] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[g] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[h],$$

where  $\langle g, p \rangle$  is the factorization of (2) through the pullback of  $q$  and  $h$ . Moreover,  $\text{Coker}[f]$  always embeds into  $\text{Coker}[\langle g, p \rangle]$ , and is isomorphic to  $\text{Coker}[\langle g, p \rangle]$  if  $p$  is surjective.

To understand the new sequence, we have to look at it from the point of view of 2-categories: the old sequence is associated with the kernel (1) of the morphism  $(p, q)$  in the category  $Ab^{\rightarrow}$ , whilst the new sequence is associated with the kernel of the morphism  $(p, q)$  in the 2-category  $Ab^{\rightarrow}$ .

Everything remains true if we replace  $Ab$  by any abelian category or, under suitable conditions on  $g$  and  $h$ , if we replace  $Ab$  by any pointed, regular and protomodular category.